even the Government of India have taken some steps in that direction to improve all the intermediate ports to the extent possible and develop one major or all-weather port. Now, the attention is towards Mangalore for the present. Karwar is also not excluded because we are improving it. We have provided Rs. 31 lakhs during the Third Plan for the improvement of the Karwar Port.

Sri S. D. GAONKAR.—May I know whether the Government propose to spend a crore of rupees since the estimate has been prepared ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—We propose to spend Rs. 31 lakhs during the Third Five-Year Plan period.

Sri B.VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Are the Government aware that the ghat portion in Hubli-Karwar is more steep and the gradient in the ghat section of Hassan Mangalore is easy and slow ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Personally I have not seen the ghat section of Hubli-Karwar road. These are matters of detail which the Public Works Department will be able to answer.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—May I suggest that the Government may call for the information on that point because it will cost less ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Certainly.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—All these factors will count at the time of determination.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—Has any survey been made for constructing a railway line from Hassan to Mangalore ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—That is not a matter within my purview. We have been stressing these railway connections. I suppose the matter is interlinked with the sanction of a Port.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—As the sum of Rs. 31 lakhs is a meagre sum for the finances of our size, will the Government spend the entire amount next year alone ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—All arguments are in favour of allotting the entire amount in one year. But it is not possible.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮುರಾವ್.—ಕಾರವಾರವನ್ನು "all weather port" ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಾಕೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Construction of Suvarnavathi Project in Chamarajnagar Taluk.

*Q.—96. Sri U. M. MADAPPA (Chamarajanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether any survey has been conducted in respect of Suvarnavathi Project in Chamarajanagar Taluk in order to include it in the III Five-Year Plan ;

(*b*) the estimated cost of the Project ;

(*c*) the estimated acreage of land that may be irrigated ;

(*d*) whether they propose to include this project in the III Five-Year Plan ?

*A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) No detailed surveys have been made.

(*b*) From a preliminary forecast it is found that the approximate cost would be Rs. 70 lakhs.

(*c*) About 6500 acres.

(*d*) The prject has not been included in the Draft Plan now prepared. The question will however be considered again after detailed investigations are made and if the project is found to be feasible.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know how many acres are going to be indirectly benefited ?

†Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Investigations have not been made. It is only preliminary investigation on the topo sheet that information is made available.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know whether detailed investigation has been conducted or proposed to be conducted ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Detailed investigations have been ordered and in order of priority the Investigation Circle will investigate all the projects under the Cauvery basin of which Surarnavathi Project is one.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know whether, according to preliminary forecast this project is feasible and a remunerative one?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Feasibility or otherwise of this project can be known only after the investigation is over.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Will the Government take immediate steps to see that investigations are completed before finalising the Third Five-Year Plan?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I have already said that the question of giving priority to the Cauvery basin projects is being considered in view of the fact that 45,000 M. Cft. of water has to be used for 1,10,000 acres as contemplated under the 1924 Agreement between Madras and Mysore.

Issue of Sugar Licences in Bellary District.

*Q.—113. Sri M. M. J. SADYOJATHAPPAIAH (Harapanahalli).—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they have framed rules for issuing sugar licences to the merchants up to November 1959;

(*b*) if so, what are the conditions up to the end of November 1959;

(*c*) the names of the persons who have applied for sugar licences in Bellary District up to the end of November 1959;

(*d*) the names of the persons recommended by the Tahsildars of each Taluk in Bellary District up to the end of November 1959;

(*e*) the names of the persons who got licences to deal in sugar (both wholesale and retail) in Bellary District up to the end of November 1959?

*A.—Sri K. F. PATIL (Minister for Forest and Transport).—

(*a*) According to the Mysore Sugar Dealers' Licensing Order, 1959, any dealer who is engaged in the business of purchase, sale or storage for sale of sugar in quantities exceeding one hundred maunds (Md-82-2/7 lbs.) at any time should hold a license and has to furnish information as to the details required in the application form. Apart from this no separate rules have been framed in this behalf, as to the criteria for deciding individual applications for grant of a licence.

(*b*) In view of answers to Clause (*a*) does not arise.

(*c*) *Vide* Statement 'A' (Copy placed on the Table of the House).

(*d*) *Vide* Statement 'C' (Copy placed on the Table of the House).

(*e*) *Vide* Statement 'B' (Copy placed on the Table of the House).

(Placed in the Legislature Library *Vide* No. 7 Budget—1960.)

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—May I know if the recommendations of the Tahsildar in the grant of licences have been respected or taken into consideration?

†Sri K. F. PATIL.—They have been taken into consideration.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are the Government aware that not even 5 per cent of the cases recommended by the Tahsildar have been considered?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ತಹಸೀಲ್ದಾರರು ಶಿಫಾರಸುಮಾಡಿರುವುದು 14 ಅಥವಾ 15 ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ. ಒಟ್ಟು 68 ಜನರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅರ್ಜಿಹಾಕಿದವರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 139.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are the Government aware that in the matter of issuing licences communal considerations have been brought to bear and even people who have no chance of being connected with the sugarcane industry and even some members of this Assembly have been included?

Sri K. F. PATIL.—I have no idea.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಜೆ. ಸದ್ಯೋಜಾತಪ್ಪಯ್ಯ.—ಕೂಡ್ಲಿಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಹರಪನಹಳ್ಳಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೊ?